

Appendices for MH/DD/SAS Community Systems Progress Indicators

Report for Fourth Quarter SFY 2006-2007 April 1 – June 30, 2007

Prepared by:
Quality Management Team
Community Policy Management Section
Division of Mental Health, Developmental Disabilities, and Substance Abuse Services

September 6, 2007



The following Appendices are a companion piece to the *Community Systems Progress Indicators Report*, both of which are available on the Division's website at: http://www.ncdhhs.gov/mhddsas/statspublications/reports. Appendix A lists the LME abbreviations used in the Report and their corresponding member counties. Appendix B provides the population density of each county used to determine the Urban/ Mixed/ and Rural groupings, as referenced in the Report. Appendix C contains the tables with the statistics for each LME on the nine Progress Indicators addressed in the Report. Appendix D provides a summary sheet for each local system to use in comparing its performance to statewide averages and to other LMEs that are similar in population density. The formulas used for calculating each indicator are found in Appendix E.

APPENDIX B:	Population Density	* for LMEs and Their Mo	ember Counties by	Groupings	
		Gr	oup Designation		
Cataurha	Urban** 354	A-C-R	Mixed**	A lla a con a ri a	Rural**
Catawba Cumberland	463	Alamance	303	Albemarle Camden	28
Durham	768	Caswell	55	Chowan	84
Guilford	647	Rockingham	162	Currituck	69
Mecklenburg	1,318	CenterPoint		Dare	78
Pitt	205	Davie	131	Pasquotank	153
Pathways		Forsyth	747	Perquimans	45
Cleveland	207	Stokes	98	E-N	440
Gaston	533	Crossroads	213	Edgecombe Nash	110
Lincoln Wake	213 752	Iredell Surry	132	Five County	161
vvake	732	Yadkin	108	Franklin	96
		Eastpointe		Granville	91
		Duplin	59	Halifax	79
		Lenoir	149	Vance	169
		Sampson	63	Warren	46
		Wayne	205	Foothills	
		OPC		Alexander	129
		Chatham	72	Burke	175
		Orange	295	Caldwell	164
		Person Piedmont	90	McDowell	95 454
		Cabarrus	359	Johnston Neuse	154
		Davidson	266	Craven	131
		Rowan	254	Jones	21
		Stanly	147	Pamlico	38
		Union	194	New River	33
		SEC		Alleghany	45
		Brunswick	85	Ashe	57
		New Hanover	805	Avery	69
		Pender	47	Watauga	136
		WHighlands		Wilkes	86
		Buncombe	314	O-C	
		Henderson	238	Carteret	111
		Madison Mitchell	43	Onslow R-C	196
		Polk	70 77	Bertie	28
		Rutherford	111	Gates	30
		Transylvania	77	Hertford	63
		Yancey	56	Northampton	41
				Sandhills	
				Anson	47
				Harnett	152
				Hoke	86
				Lee	190
				Montgomery Moore	54 107
				Randolph	165
				Richmond	98
				SER	
				Bladen	36
				Columbus	58
				Robeson	129
				Scotland	112
				SMountain	
				Cherokee	53
				Clay Graham	40 27
				Haywood	97
				Jackson	67
				Macon	57
				Swain	24
				Tideland	
				Beaufort	54
				Hyde	9
				Martin	55
				Tyrrell	10
				Washington	39
				Wilson-Greene	74
				Greene	71 108
				Wilson	198

^{*} From N.C. Rural Economic Development Center's Rural Data Bank http://www.ncruralcenter.org/databank/ based on 2000 Census of Population.

^{**} Urban counties have an aveage of 200 or more persons living per square mile of land area. The Mixed group contains multi-county LMEs with at least one member county meeting the definition of urban. Rural counties contain fewer than 200 persons per square mile.

Appendix C

		Adult MH			Adult DD			Adult SA	
LME	Number in Need	Number Served	Percent of Population in Need Served	Number in Need	Number Served	Percent of Population in Need Served	Number in Need	Number Served	Percent of Population in Need Served
Catawba	6,164	2,486	40%	902	303	34%	9,109	940	10%
Cumberland	12,079	5,111	42%	1,767	490	28%	17,849	1,494	8%
Durham	10,054	3.748	37%	1,471	583	40%	14,858	1,448	10%
Guilford	18,427	6,609	36%	2,696	1.011	38%	27,231	2,017	7%
Mecklenburg	32,871	6,343	19%	4,809	1,514	31%	48,577	3,013	6%
Pathways	14,939	9,789	66%	2,185	1,140	52%	22,076	2,695	12%
Pitt	5,997	1,675	28%	877	327	37%	8.862	809	9%
Wake	31,255	7,661	25%	4,572	1,140	25%	46,188	2,595	6%
URBAN SUBTOTAL	131,785	43,422	33%	19,280	6,508	34%	194,749	15.011	8%
	,	,	557.5	,===	0,000	- 1,1	,.	,	-,,,
A-C-R	10.631	4.475	42%	1.555	579	37%	15.710	1.227	8%
CenterPoint	17,049	6,353	37%	2,494	927	37%	25,195	2,488	10%
Crossroads	10,397	4,335	42%	1,521	481	32%	15,365	965	6%
Eastpointe	11,790	4.884	41%	1.725	827	48%	17,423	984	6%
OPC	9,316	2,491	27%	1,363	540	40%	13,767	1.039	8%
Piedmont*	5,510	_,	_,,,	1,000			,	.,,	
SEC	13,967	5,079	36%	2.043	621	30%	20.641	1.990	10%
WHighlands	20,765	9,941	48%	3.038	1,296	43%	30.686	3,167	10%
MIXED SUBTOTAL	93,916	37,558	40%	13,739	5,271	38%	138,786	11,860	9%
	55,615	01,000		,	-,		,	,	
Albemarle	5,676	2,403	42%	830	299	36%	8,387	807	10%
E-N	5,896	2,134	36%	863	350	41%	8.713	612	7%
Five County	9,388	5,090	54%	1,373	588	43%	13,874	1.314	9%
Foothills	10.324	4,312	42%	1,510	493	33%	15.257	1,161	8%
Johnston	5,979	2,971	50%	875	188	21%	8.836	897	10%
Neuse	4,859	1,598	33%	711	314	44%	7,180	441	6%
New River	7,220	3,751	52%	1,056	443	42%	10,670	1,276	12%
O-C	9,219	2,377	26%	1,349	372	28%	13,624	786	6%
R-C	3,196	1,902	60%	468	304	65%	4.723	410	9%
Sandhills	21,239	7,672	36%	3,107	949	31%	31,386	2,197	7%
SER	10,087	5,654	56%	1,476	812	55%	14.907	1,693	11%
SMountain	8,034	2,723	34%	1,175	370	31%	11,872	608	5%
Tideland	3,944	1,881	48%	577	287	50%	5,828	374	6%
W-G	3,974	1,532	39%	581	265	46%	5.872	435	7%
RURAL SUBTOTAL	109,035	46,000	42%	15,951	6,034	38%	161,130	13,011	8%
		-,	-7.0	.,	- /		- ,	-,	- 7-
STATEWIDE	334,736	126,980	38%	48,971	17.813	36%	494.665	39.882	8%

SOURCE: Medicaid and State Service Claims Data. April 1, 2006 to March 31, 2007.

* Data on service claims for Piedmont are not available for this report.

NOTE: Data does not include services in community-based hospitals or primary care physicians' offices.

	С	hild/Adolescent N	ИΗ		hild/Adolescent I	DD		Adolescent SA	
LME	Number in Need	Number Served	Percent of Population in Need Served	Number in Need	Number Served	Percent of Population in Need Served	Number in Need	Number Served	Percent of Population in Need Served
Catawba	3,727	1,712	46%	997	123	12%	907	112	12%
Cumberland	8,221	3,214	39%	2,199	343	16%	2,028	119	6%
Durham	5,763	3,166	55%	1,542	355	23%	1,329	130	10%
Guilford	10,891	3,658	34%	2,913	461	16%	2,705	120	4%
Mecklenburg	20,825	5,385	26%	5,571	815	15%	4,926	229	5%
Pathways	8.858	4,251	48%	2.369	703	30%	2.200	207	9%
Pitt	3,428	2,427	71%	917	314	34%	839	108	13%
Wake	20.114	5.750	29%	5.381	775	14%	4.819	235	5%
URBAN SUBTOTAL	81.827	29.563	36%	21.889	3.889	18%	19.752	1.260	6%
	- /-	- /-		,	-,		- /	,	
A-C-R	6.069	2.004	33%	1.621	204	13%	1.487	133	9%
CenterPoint	10.301	3,703	36%	2.747	488	18%	2,569	254	10%
Crossroads	6.357	1,660	26%	1,703	205	12%	1,524	95	6%
Eastpointe	7,471	3,387	45%	1,997	427	21%	1,800	68	4%
OPC	4,636	1.632	35%	1,237	333	27%	1.151	89	8%
Piedmont*	7	7		,			, -		
SEC	6.724	3.739	56%	1.794	531	30%	1.669	112	7%
WHighlands	10.333	5,272	51%	2.758	631	23%	2,559	184	7%
MIXED SUBTOTAL	51,891	21,397	41%	13,857	2,819	20%	12,759	935	7%
	,	- ,	,.	,	-,	= 3,1	.=,		.,,,
Albemarle	2.955	1.206	41%	789	135	17%	719	22	3%
E-N	3,665	1,386	38%	978	110	11%	918	58	6%
Five County	5,675	2,646	47%	1.515	257	17%	1,420	91	6%
Foothills	5,798	2,259	39%	1,285	168	13%	1,416	72	5%
Johnston	3,969	1,304	33%	1.069	123	12%	889	41	5%
Neuse	2,631	1,232	47%	705	190	27%	627	50	8%
New River	3,203	1,264	39%	858	125	15%	760	87	11%
O-C	4,889	1,677	34%	1,314	232	18%	1.141	48	4%
R-C	1,775	1,217	69%	473	185	39%	454	20	4%
Sandhills	13.119	5,356	41%	3.505	548	16%	3.167	278	9%
SER	6,816	3,764	55%	1.823	593	33%	1.652	124	8%
SMountain	3,640	2.259	62%	973	212	22%	880	61	7%
Tideland	2,121	1,453	68%	830	137	17%	518	29	6%
W-G	2,473	1,156	47%	662	163	25%	598	26	4%
RURAL SUBTOTAL	62.729	28.179	45%	16.780	3.178	19%	15.161	1.007	7%
TOTAL COLIGIAL	52,125	20,170	.570	13,700	5,170	.570	.5,101	.,501	. 70
STATEWIDE	196,447	79.139	40%	52.526	9.886	19%	47.673	3.202	7%

SOURCE: Medicaid and State Service Claims Data. April 1, 2006 to March 31, 2007.

* Data on service claims for Piedmont are not available for this report.

NOTE: Data does not include services in community-based hospitals or primary care physicians' offices.

	2 Visits with	nin 14 Days	2nd Servic	e > 14 Days	No 2nd	Service	Total Number	4 Visits with	nin 45 Days**
LME	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	with an Initial Visit	Number	Percent
Catawba	272	30%	293	33%	327	37%	892	150	17%
Cumberland	523	23%	531	24%	1,194	53%	2,248	336	15%
Ourham	668	55%	283	23%	271	22%	1,222	467	38%
Guilford	712	29%	445	18%	1,320	53%	2,477	426	17%
/lecklenburg	1,117	46%	614	25%	705	29%	2,436	816	33%
athways	910	35%	677	26%	1,009	39%	2,596	574	22%
Pitt	385	52%	189	26%	167	23%	741	324	44%
Vake	900	39%	657	29%	729	32%	2,286	659	29%
JRBAN SUBTOTAL	5,487	37%	3,689	25%	5,722	38%	14,898	3,752	25%
0.0					=0.	100/			100/
A-C-R	388	27%	351	24%	704	49%	1,443	231	16%
CenterPoint	952	42%	475	21%	822	37%	2,249	692	31%
crossroads	416	29%	416	29%	581	41%	1,413	220	16%
astpointe	598	33%	495	28%	696	39%	1,789	328	18%
Piedmont*	254	44%	144	25%	184	32%	582	172	30%
BEC	732	39%	404	25%	000	36%	4.004	479	25%
VHighlands	1,115	43%	481 675	26%	688 801	36%	1,901 2.591	772	30%
MIXED SUBTOTAL	4.455	43% 37%	3.037	25%	4.476	37%	2,591	2.894	24%
IINED SUBTUTAL	4,455	31%	3,037	23%	4,476	31%	11,900	2,094	24%
Albemarle	218	32%	190	28%	268	40%	676	110	16%
-N	261	31%	296	35%	288	34%	845	167	20%
ive County	487	29%	475	28%	716	43%	1,678	358	21%
oothills	527	35%	505	33%	488	32%	1,520	275	18%
ohnston	261	22%	281	24%	635	54%	1,177	123	10%
leuse	222	39%	153	27%	200	35%	575	141	25%
lew River	314	33%	279	29%	359	38%	952	138	14%
)-C	314	37%	264	31%	271	32%	849	200	24%
R-C	228	34%	201	30%	246	36%	675	175	26%
Sandhills	1,027	32%	826	25%	1,402	43%	3,255	651	20%
ER	758	49%	391	25%	410	26%	1,559	536	34%
Mountain	499	46%	225	21%	354	33%	1,078	316	29%
ideland	291	42%	115	17%	280	41%	686	246	36%
V-G	185	28%	178	27%	301	45%	664	106	16%
URAL SUBTOTAL	5,592	35%	4,379	27%	6,218	38%	16,189	3,542	22%
TATEWIDE	15,534	36%	11,105	26%	16,416	38%	43,055	10,188	24%

SOURCE: Medicaid and State Service Claims Data. October 1 - December 31, 2006 (first service received)

^{*} Data on service claims for Piedmont are not available for this report.

^{**} For persons receiving 2 visits within the first 14 days of service.

NOTE: Data does not include services in community-based hospitals or primary care physicians' offices. NOTE: Percentages should **NOT** total 100% across the LME row.

	2 Visits with	hin 14 Days	2nd Service	e > 14 Days	No 2nd	Service	Total Number	4 Visits with	in 45 Days**
LME	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	with an Initial Visit	Number	Percent
Catawba	2	40%	0	0%	3	60%	5	2	40%
Cumberland	7	23%	4	13%	19	63%	30	6	20%
Ourham	12	50%	7	29%	5	21%	24	9	38%
Guilford	26	45%	13	22%	19	33%	58	19	33%
/lecklenburg	92	76%	22	18%	7	6%	121	83	69%
athways	41	72%	10	18%	6	11%	57	30	53%
Pitt	7	64%	3	27%	1	9%	11	4	36%
Vake	42	58%	18	25%	12	17%	72	34	47%
JRBAN SUBTOTAL	229	61%	77	20%	72	19%	378	187	49%
A-C-R	0	50%	0	11%	7	39%	18	9	50%
CenterPoint	9 28	67%	2	14%		19%	42	21	50%
			6		8				
crossroads	19	86%	1	5%	2	9%	22	13	59%
astpointe	20	51%	7	18%	12 7	31%	39	16	41%
Piedmont*	11	48%	5	22%	/	30%	23	9	39%
SEC	23	62%	12	32%	2	5%	37	21	57%
VHighlands	27	68%	6	15%	7	18%	40	20	50%
MIXED SUBTOTAL	137	62%	39	18%	45	20%	221	109	49%
MALDOUBIOTAL	107	0270	- 00	1070	40	2070	EE1	100	4070
lbemarle	5	50%	5	50%	0	0%	10	3	30%
-N	10	45%	5	23%	7	32%	22	8	36%
ive County	10	59%	2	12%	5	29%	17	7	41%
oothills	7	70%	1	10%	2	20%	10	7	70%
lohnston	3	38%	2	25%	3	38%	8	2	25%
leuse	5	63%	1	13%	2	25%	8	3	38%
lew River	2	33%	3	50%	1	17%	6	2	33%
)-C	10	43%	9	39%	4	17%	23	9	39%
R-C	3	60%	1	20%	1	20%	5	2	40%
Sandhills	30	64%	4	9%	13	28%	47	26	55%
SER	9	39%	8	35%	6	26%	23	6	26%
Mountain	14	78%	2	11%	2	11%	18	9	50%
ideland	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	4	4	100%
V-G	9	60%	2	13%	4	27%	15	6	40%
URAL SUBTOTAL	121	56%	45	21%	50	23%	216	94	44%
STATEWIDE	487	60%	161	20%	167	20%	815	390	48%

SOURCE: Medicaid and State Service Claims Data. October 1 - December 31, 2006 (first service received)

^{*} Data on service claims for Piedmont are not available for this report.

^{**} For persons receiving 2 visits within the first 14 days of service.

NOTE: Data does not include services in community-based hospitals or primary care physicians' offices. NOTE: Percentages should **NOT** total 100% across the LME row.

	2 Visits with	hin 14 Days	2nd Servic	e > 14 Days	No 2nd	Service	Total Number	4 Visits with	in 45 Days**
LME	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	with an Initial Visit	Number	Percent
Catawba	92	73%	12	10%	22	17%	126	76	60%
Cumberland	70	43%	29	18%	65	40%	164	46	28%
Ourham	102	80%	7	6%	18	14%	127	79	62%
Guilford	141	76%	12	6%	32	17%	185	116	63%
/lecklenburg	389	70%	34	6%	130	24%	553	275	50%
athways	129	63%	21	10%	56	27%	206	91	44%
Pitt	56	61%	15	16%	21	23%	92	39	42%
Vake	145	58%	39	15%	68	27%	252	110	44%
IRBAN SUBTOTAL	1,124	66%	169	10%	412	24%	1,705	832	49%
0.0	45	400/	4.4	12%		39%	92		000/
A-C-R	45 190	49% 66%	11 24	12% 8%	36 72	39% 25%	92 286	24 146	26% 51%
CenterPoint									
crossroads	44	53%	9	11%	30	36%	83 76	34	41%
astpointe	34 77	45%	15	20%	27	36%	76 119	26	34%
Piedmont*	//	65%	12	10%	30	25%	119	48	40%
BEC	168	62%	24	9%	81	30%	273	107	39%
VHighlands	195	82%	18	8%	24	10%	237	147	62%
MIXED SUBTOTAL	753	65%	113	10%	300	26%	1,166	532	46%
MIXED GODIOTAL	100	0378	113	1070	300	2070	1,100	332	4078
Ibemarle	35	44%	18	23%	27	34%	80	29	36%
-N	27	55%	3	6%	19	39%	49	19	39%
ive County	42	57%	5	7%	27	36%	74	29	39%
oothills	101	78%	7	5%	22	17%	130	86	66%
ohnston	18	55%	6	18%	9	27%	33	13	39%
leuse	23	56%	9	22%	9	22%	41	12	29%
lew River	102	63%	12	7%	47	29%	161	77	48%
)-C	79	58%	29	21%	29	21%	137	51	37%
R-C	13	54%	2	8%	9	38%	24	11	46%
Sandhills	181	60%	35	12%	84	28%	300	119	40%
SER	97	63%	17	11%	41	26%	155	65	42%
Mountain	53	52%	15	15%	33	33%	101	41	41%
ideland	20	65%	4	13%	7	23%	31	15	48%
V-G	18	45%	7	18%	15	38%	40	11	28%
URAL SUBTOTAL	809	60%	169	12%	378	28%	1,356	578	43%
STATEWIDE	2,686	64%	451	11%	1,090	26%	4,227	1,942	46%

SOURCE: Medicaid and State Service Claims Data. October 1 - December 31, 2006 (first service received)

^{*} Data on service claims for Piedmont are not available for this report.

^{**} For persons receiving 2 visits within the first 14 days of service.

NOTE: Data does not include services in community-based hospitals or primary care physicians' offices. NOTE: Percentages should **NOT** total 100% across the LME row.

	1 - 7 Day	s of Care	8 - 30 Da	ys of Care	30+ Day	s of Care	T
LME	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Total Discharges
Catawba	36	41%	35	40%	17	19%	88
Cumberland	99	49%	64	32%	38	19%	201
Ourham	181	50%	124	34%	58	16%	363
Guilford	186	50%	121	33%	62	17%	369
Mecklenburg	82	35%	103	44%	50	21%	235
Pathways	120	49%	86	35%	37	15%	243
Pitt	63	49%	44	34%	22	17%	129
Vake	831	68%	285	23%	107	9%	1,223
JRBAN SUBTOTAL	1,598	56%	862	30%	391	14%	2,851
A-C-R	222	62%	96	27%	39	11%	357
CenterPoint	245	50%	180	37%	62	13%	487
Crossroads	88	49%	62	35%	28	16%	178
Eastpointe	218	53%	146	36%	44	11%	408
OPC	106	50%	70	33%	35	17%	211
Piedmont	188	48%	144	37%	59	15%	391
SEC	69	47%	52	36%	25	17%	146
WHighlands	113	52%	81	37%	25	11%	219
MIXED SUBTOTAL	1,249	52%	831	35%	317	13%	2,397
	,						,
Albemarle	68	56%	45	37%	8	7%	121
E-N	184	60%	101	33%	23	7%	308
ive County	177	54%	104	32%	44	14%	325
Foothills	121	49%	81	33%	45	18%	247
lohnston	132	69%	45	23%	15	8%	192
Neuse	68	60%	30	27%	15	13%	113
New River	40	43%	33	36%	19	21%	92
)-C	100	61%	49	30%	15	9%	164
R-C	22	34%	29	45%	13	20%	64
Sandhills	335	65%	122	24%	57	11%	514
BER	163	53%	109	36%	33	11%	305
SMountain	89	49%	63	35%	28	16%	180
ideland	34	47%	32	44%	7	10%	73
V-G	269	50%	190	36%	74	14%	533
RURAL SUBTOTAL	1,802	56%	1,033	32%	396	12%	3,231
STATEWIDE	4.649	55%	2,726	32%	1,104	13%	8,479

SOURCE: Healthcare Enterprise Accounts Receivable Tracking System (HEARTS) Data on Discharges during January 1, 2007 to June 30, 2007.

	Seen in 1	to 7 days	Seen in 8	to 30 days	Seen in	30+ days	Not	Seen	Total Number of
LME	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Discharges
Catawba	7	29%	5	21%	0	0%	12	50%	24
Cumberland	3	75%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	4
Durham	7	18%	2	5%	6	16%	23	61%	38
Guilford	5	12%	3	7%	7	17%	27	64%	42
Mecklenburg	5	8%	6	10%	7	12%	41	69%	59
Pathways	10	37%	1	4%	2	7%	14	52%	27
Pitt	4	57%	0	0%	1	14%	2	29%	7
Wake	8	33%	3	13%	3	13%	10	42%	24
URBAN SUBTOTAL	49	22%	20	9%	26	12%	130	58%	225
A-C-R	13	22%	9	15%	7	12%	30	51%	59
CenterPoint	8	15%	8	15%	9	17%	29	54%	59
Crossroads	3	19%	2	13%	3	19%	8	50%	16
	9	20%	5	11%	2	4%	29	64%	45
Eastpointe OPC	7	20%	3	9%	5	14%	29	57%	35
Piedmont*	,	20%	3	970	5	14%	20	51%	35
SEC	13	37%	0	0%	7	20%	15	43%	35
WHighlands	28	28%	12	12%	12	12%	49	49%	101
MIXED SUBTOTAL	81	23%	39	11%	45	13%	180	52%	345
Albemarle	8	22%	5	14%	3	8%	20	56%	36
E-N	2	25%	2	25%	0	0%	4	50%	8
Five County	6	17%	3	9%	4	11%	22	63%	35
Foothills	6	19%	2	6%	6	19%	17	55%	31
Johnston	2	29%	3	43%	1	14%	1	14%	7
Neuse	5	17%	3	10%	5	17%	16	55%	29
New River	12	30%	3	8%	1	3%	24	60%	40
0-C	0		0		0		0		0
R-C	2	50%	0	0%	0	0%	2	50%	4
Sandhills	15	29%	6	12%	6	12%	24	47%	51
SER	5	36%	2	14%	0	0%	7	50%	14
SMountain	5	22%	2	9%	0	0%	16	70%	23
Tideland	3	33%	2	22%	0	0%	4	44%	9
W-G	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	3	75%	4
RURAL SUBTOTAL	72	25%	33	11%	26	9%	160	55%	291
STATEWIDE	202	23%	92	11%	97	11%	470	55%	861

SOURCE: Healthcare Enterprise Accounts Receivable Tracking System (HEARTS) Discharge Data (for HEARTS discharges October 1 - December 31, 2006); Medicaid and State Service Claims Data (for claims submitted October 1, 2006 - June 30, 2007).

* Data on service claims for Piedmont are not available for this report.

NOTE: Data does not include services in community-based hospitals or primary care physicians' offices.

NOTE: The rows may add up to > 100% due to rounding.

LME	Seen in 1	1 to 7 days	Seen in 8	to 30 days	Seen in	30+ days	Not	Seen	Total Number of
Lille	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Discharges
Catawba	11	25%	7	16%	7	16%	19	43%	44
Cumberland	33	45%	9	12%	8	11%	23	32%	73
Durham	71	39%	19	10%	19	10%	73	40%	182
Guilford	58	33%	26	15%	22	13%	68	39%	174
Mecklenburg	24	20%	12	10%	11	9%	74	61%	121
Pathways	66	52%	20	16%	7	6%	33	26%	126
Pitt	17	40%	9	21%	4	10%	12	29%	42
Wake	138	23%	88	15%	84	14%	281	48%	591
URBAN SUBTOTAL	418	31%	190	14%	162	12%	583	43%	1353
A-C-R	43	25%	19	11%	25	15%	83	49%	170
CenterPoint	87	38%	46	20%	20	9%	76	33%	229
Crossroads	25	32%	10	13%	11	14%	31	40%	77
Eastpointe	47	24%	27	14%	27	14%	99	50%	200
OPC	20	20%	12	12%	13	13%	54	55%	99
Piedmont*									
SEC	49	36%	14	10%	20	15%	52	39%	135
WHighlands	94	36%	45	17%	30	11%	93	35%	262
MIXED SUBTOTAL	365	31%	173	15%	146	12%	488	42%	1172
Albemarle	11	24%	4	9%	7	15%	24	52%	46
E-N	35	24%	14	10%	12	8%	82	57%	143
Five County	44	30%	13	9%	18	12%	70	48%	145
Foothills	35	29%	19	16%	18	15%	48	40%	120
Johnston	33	37%	21	24%	8	9%	27	30%	89
Neuse	40	28%	20	14%	17	12%	67	47%	144
New River	22	52%	4	10%	3	7%	13	31%	42
O-C	0		0		0		0		0
R-C	9	28%	4	13%	6	19%	13	41%	32
Sandhills	80	32%	42	17%	25	10%	104	41%	251
SER	42	58%	10	14%	5	7%	16	22%	73
SMountain	18	28%	11	17%	2	3%	34	52%	65
Tideland	11	29%	3	8%	5	13%	19	50%	38
W-G	30	26%	15	13%	14	12%	58	50%	117
RURAL SUBTOTAL	410	31%	180	14%	140	11%	575	44%	1305
STATEWIDE	1193	31%	543	14%	448	12%	1646	43%	3830

SOURCE: Healthcare Enterprise Accounts Receivable Tracking System (HEARTS) Discharge Data (for HEARTS discharges October 1 - December 31, 2006); Medicaid and State Service Claims Data (for claims submitted October 1, 2006 - June 30, 2007).

* Data on service claims for Piedmont are not available for this report.

NOTE: Data does not include services in community-based hospitals or primary care physicians' offices. NOTE: The rows may add up to > 100% due to rounding.

	Seen in 1	l to 7 days	Seen in 8	to 30 days	Seen in	30+ days	Not	Seen	Total Number of
LME	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Discharges
Catawba	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1
Cumberland	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
Durham	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	2
Guilford	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1
Mecklenburg	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
Pathways	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
Pitt	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1
Wake	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
URBAN SUBTOTAL	3	60%	1	20%	0	0%	1	20%	5
A-C-R		00/		00/		997		00/	
	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
CenterPoint	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1
Crossroads	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
Eastpointe	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
OPC Piedmont*	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
SEC	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1
WHighlands	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
MIXED SUBTOTAL	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2
<u>,</u>	j	070	J	0,0	· ·	0,0	-	10070	_
Albemarle	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
E-N	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
Five County	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1
Foothills	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
Johnston	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
Neuse	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
New River	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
O-C	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
R-C	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
Sandhills	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	2
SER	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
SMountain	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
Tideland	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1
W-G	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
RURAL SUBTOTAL	2	50%	1	25%	0	0%	1	25%	4
STATEWIDE	5	45%	2	18%	0	0%	4	36%	11

SOURCE: Healthcare Enterprise Accounts Receivable Tracking System (HEARTS) Discharge Data (for HEARTS discharges October 1 - December 31, 2006); Medicaid and State Service Claims Data (for claims submitted October 1, 2006 - June 30, 2007).

* Data on service claims for Piedmont are not available for this report.

NOTE: Data does not include services in community-based hospitals or primary care physicians' offices.

NOTE: The rows may add up to > 100% due to rounding.

			Provider Choice	
	Number of Initial	Yes, I received a		No, I did not receive
LME	Interviews	list.	my own.	a list.
		Percent	Percent	Percent
Catawba	254	63%	32%	5%
Cumberland	790	78%	20%	3%
Durham	821	66%	28%	7%
Guilford	544	69%	23%	8%
Mecklenburg	1,242	66%	26%	8%
Pathways	658	71%	23%	6%
Pitt*				
Wake	742	71%	24%	6%
URBAN SUBTOTAL	5,051	69%	25%	6%
A-C-R	359	71%	19%	10%
CenterPoint	983	67%	27%	6%
Crossroads	409	66%	25%	9%
Eastpointe	772	81%	14%	5%
OPC	191	72%	23%	5%
Piedmont	516	74%	18%	8%
SEC	861	74%	19%	7%
WHighlands	1,156	69%	22%	9%
MIXED SUBTOTAL	5,247	71%	21%	8%
Albemarle	655	88%	10%	2%
E-N	262	89%	9%	2%
Five County	536	84%	9%	7%
Foothills	414	72%	20%	8%
Johnston	402	73%	21%	6%
Neuse	719	67%	31%	2%
New River	207	68%	31%	1%
O-C	366	75%	13%	13%
R-C	268	50%	49%	1%
Sandhills	802	68%	28%	4%
SER	1,488	71%	27%	2%
SMountain	202	73%	18%	8%
Tideland	412	76%	22%	2%
W-G	229	83%	9%	8%
RURAL SUBTOTAL	6,964	74%	22%	4%
STATEWIDE	17,262	72%	22%	6%

SOURCE: NC Treatment Outcomes & Program Performance System (NC-TOPPS) Data. Initial Interviews for April 1 - June 30, 2007

^{*} Data for Pitt is reported with Neuse.

INDICATOR 6: Use of Evider	nce-Based Service Models and I	Best Practices
LME	Number of Services With One or More Endorsed Providers	Number of Services With One or More Billing Providers
Catawba	4	4
Cumberland	5	4
Durham	6	6
Guilford	6	5
Mecklenburg	6	6
Pathways	6	5
Pitt	6	6
Wake	6	6
URBAN SUBTOTAL	6	5
A-C-R	5	4
CenterPoint	6	5
Crossroads	5	4
Eastpointe	4	3
OPC	6	5
Piedmont*		
SEC	6	5
WHighlands	5	5
MIXED SUBTOTAL	5	4
		-
Albemarle	4	1
E-N	3	2
Five County	6	4
Foothills	5	3
Johnston	4	4
Neuse	2	2
New River	4	4
O-C	6	3
R-C	5	2
Sandhills	6	5
SER	6	5
SMountain	6	4
Tideland	4	1
W-G	4	2
RURAL SUBTOTAL	5	3
	Ŭ	Ŭ
STATEWIDE	5	4

SOURCE: Medicaid Provider Endorsement Data and Medicaid Claims Data. April 1, 2006 - June 30, 2007

^{*} Data on service claims for Piedmont are not available for this report.

LME	Number of CFAC Positions	April 2007 Attendance	May 2007 Attendance	June 2007 Attendance	Average Attendance for 4th Quarter SFY '06-'07	Percent Attending During 4th Quarter SFY '06-'07	
Catawba	15	6	8	5	6	42%	
Cumberland	18	6	7	5	6	31%	
Durham	16	9	10	8	9	56%	
Guilford	9	5	5	5	5	56%	
Mecklenburg*	at least 9	8	11	9	9	NA	
Pathways	18	15	13	14	14	76%	
Pitt	18	4	8	9	8	44%	
Wake*	Unlimited	6	7	6	6	NA	
URBAN SUBTOTAL	16	7	9	8	8	51%	
A O D	0.4	17	45	16	40	070/	
A-C-R	24		15		16	67%	
CenterPoint	18	8	8	7	8	44%	
Crossroads	12	10	9	10	10	83%	
Eastpointe	12	6	4	6	5	42%	
OPC	30	15	16	15	15	50%	
Piedmont	21	6	9	12	9	43%	
SEC	15	7	10	7	8	53%	
WHighlands	14	8	7	9	8	57%	
MIXED SUBTOTAL	18	10	10	8	10	54%	
Albemarle	18	17	15	16	16	89%	
E-N	12	10	11	10	10	83%	
Five County	15	8	8	9	8	56%	
Foothills	14	13	13	13	13	93%	
Johnston	21	9	10	8	9	43%	
Neuse	21	9	8	10	9	43%	
New River	14	13	10	14	12	82%	
O-C	12	9	10	12	10	80%	
R-C	12	2	NM	4	3	25%	
Sandhills	24	13	13	10	12	50%	
SER	21	11	11	13	11	52%	
Smountain	15	7	6	5	6	40%	
Tideland	18	10	10	14	11	61%	
W-G*			10			3170	
RURAL SUBTOTAL	17	10	8	11	10	60%	
		-		-	-		
STATEWIDE	17	9	9	9	9	55%	

SOURCE: Local CFAC meeting minutes. April-June 2007 NM=No Meeting

^{*}Mecklenburg and Wake were not included in totals because the number of CFAC positions were not clearly identified. Wilson-Greene is reported with Edgecombe-Nash.

INDICATOR 8: Effective Man	nager	ment of Service F	un	ds SFY 2006-2007	7 (A	II Age-Disability C	Gro	oups)**			
LME	E Budgeted Amount Q1 Earnings Q2 Ea		Q2 Earnings	Q3 Earnings			Q4 Earnings	Percentage of Yearly Earnings (SFY '06-'07 Q1-Q4)			
Catawba	\$	4,314,625	\$	1,070,151	\$	512,125	\$	1,149,824	\$	1,208,145	91%
Cumberland	\$	7,783,139	\$	2,105,866	\$	998,053	\$	890,968	\$	925,364	63%
Durham	\$	8,411,485	\$	1,377,338	\$	2,641,478	\$	2,116,233	\$	1,756,372	94%
Guilford	\$	8,663,350	\$	3,015,127	\$	2,975,613	\$	2,474,959	\$	73,492	99%
Mecklenburg	\$	8,091,895	\$	1,007,671	\$	1,766,620	\$	3,928,917	\$	526,805	89%
Pathways	\$	15,868,660	\$	3,652,573	\$	2,924,196	\$	4,080,854	\$	3,343,283	88%
Pitt	\$	5,875,920	\$	1,485,483	\$	1,208,343	\$	839,597	\$	941,257	76%
Wake	\$	19,719,199	\$	5,700,050	\$	3,181,298	\$	4,321,245	\$	4,496,344	90%
URBAN SUBTOTAL	\$	78,728,273	\$	19,414,259	\$	16,207,727	\$	19,802,597	\$	13,271,063	87%
		, ,		, ,		, ,		, , ,		, ,	
A-C-R	\$	11,534,031	\$	3,848,542	\$	3,028,534	\$	1,555,332	\$	2,174,808	92%
CenterPoint	\$	12,452,192	\$	3,833,584	\$	2,892,552	_		\$	1,696,250	87%
Crossroads	\$	6,052,263	\$	1.646.894	\$	1,777,921	\$		\$	867,734	100%
Eastpointe	\$	10,909,727	\$	2,007,806	\$	1,865,302	\$		\$	2,668,624	77%
OPC	\$	9,450,248	\$	1,848,628	\$	2,381,841	\$, ,	\$	1,577,407	83%
Piedmont*				, ,		, ,		, ,		, ,	
SEC	\$	9,076,145	\$	527,437	\$	1,276,875	\$	2,208,404	\$	3,068,737	78%
WHighlands	\$	20,687,678	\$	8,630,220	\$	2,612,518	\$	3,914,301	\$	3,389,366	90%
MIXED SUBTOTAL	\$	80,162,284	\$	22,343,112	\$	15,835,541	\$	15,708,655	\$	15,442,926	86%
		, - , -		,,		-,,-	Ť	-,,		-, ,	
Albemarle	\$	5,205,256	\$	1,120,900	\$	349,289	\$	858,578	\$	690,090	58%
E-N	\$	4.699.090	\$	543.356	\$	712,462	\$	618.594	\$	462.836	50%
Five County	\$	10,150,874	\$	2,223,589	\$	2,692,277	\$		\$	2,030,684	86%
Foothills	\$	8,726,426	\$	2,229,992	\$	2,216,247	\$	1,818,753	\$	2,095,013	96%
Johnston	\$	3,589,843	\$	734,994	\$	965,996	\$	909,482	\$	705,720	92%
Neuse	\$	2,421,431	\$	333,655	\$	389,803	\$	402,866	\$	414,801	64%
New River	\$	4,569,915	\$	2,033,872	\$	1,788,161	\$	592,608	\$	152,614	100%
O-C	\$	5,238,902	\$	483,674	\$	541,689	\$	1,306,845	\$	1,034,569	64%
R-C	\$	3,273,819	\$	828,487	\$	691,234	\$	536,739	\$	510,455	78%
Sandhills	\$	17,831,129	\$	4,274,783	\$	3,841,401	\$,	\$	3,746,459	90%
SER	\$	11,485,244	\$	2,377,303	\$	2,010,762	\$, ,	\$	2,365,130	80%
SMountain*				, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Ė		Ĺ	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Tideland	\$	4,217,387	\$	926,365	\$	900,904	\$	696,095	\$	405,709	69%
W-G	\$	4,279,526	\$	877,398	\$	764,492		,	\$	879,902	80%
RURAL SUBTOTAL	\$	50,895,922	\$	11,801,882		10,538,642	_			9,094,838	83%
STATEWIDE	\$	244,579,399	\$	60,745,739	\$	49,907,984	\$	52,481,813	\$	44,207,970	85%

SOURCE: Service Claims Data for State and non-Medicaid Federal Funds. July 1, 2006 - June 30, 2007

^{*} Service claims data for Piedmont and Smoky Mountain are not available for this report.

^{**}The numbers exclude funds allocated or processed outside of IPRS. Budgets have been updated to reflect change in allocations since the last report.

		Consumer Admissions	s		Consumer Outcomes	3	
LME	Total CDW Records Received	# of CDW Records With Missing Identifying and Demographic Records	Percent of CDW Records Completed Within 30 Days	Expected Number of NC-TOPPS Update Instruments	Number of NC- TOPPS Update Assessments Received	Percent of Expected NC-TOPPS Update Assessments Received	
Catawba	883	26	97%	271	247	91%	
Cumberland	1,294	4	100%	755	564	75%	
Durham	993	1	100%	468	337	72%	
Guilford	1,370	7	99%	408	316	77%	
Mecklenburg	790	82	90%	755	700	93%	
Pathways	1,600	43	97%	608	475	78%	
Pitt*	,,,,,,			124	65	52%	
Wake	2,516	216	91%	605	322	53%	
URBAN SUBTOTAL	9,446	379	96%	3,994	3,026	76%	
A-C-R	1,165	31	97%	328	227	69%	
CenterPoint	1,842	4	100%	750	601	80%	
Crossroads	1,094	27	98%	250	162	65%	
Eastpointe	691	100	86%	277	211	76%	
OPC	760	267	65%	219	207	95%	
Piedmont*	700	201	0376	587	251	43%	
SEC	1,350	90	93%	573	565	99%	
WHighlands	2,066	4	100%	569	326	57%	
MIXED SUBTOTAL	8,968	523	94%	3,553	2,550	72%	
MIXED SUBTUTAL	0,300	323	3470	3,333	2,000	1270	
Albemarle	849	38	96%	123	108	88%	
E-N	209	21	90%	110	102	93%	
Five County	795	75	91%	350	253	72%	
Foothills	859	27	97%	233	208	89%	
Johnston	838	1	100%	305	275	90%	
Neuse	913	12	99%	462	403	87%	
New River	62	14	77%	146	123	84%	
O-C	1,081	99	91%	282	85	30%	
R-C	262	3	99%	170	80	47%	
Sandhills	2,291	<u>3</u> 76	97%	694	552	80%	
SER	1,165	6	99%	848	644	76%	
SMountain	153	10	93%	288	198	69%	
	153 172		94%			72%	
Tideland W-G	343	10 72	79%	141 107	101 75	72%	
RURAL SUBTOTAL	9,992	464	95%	4,259	3,207	75%	
	00.400	1000	050/	44.000	0.700	7.40/	
STATEWIDE	28,406	1,366	95%	11,806	8,783	74%	

SOURCE for Consumer Admissions: Consumer Data Warehouse Data. January - June 2007; State Service Claims Data (for claims submitted January - June 2007 for services provided January - March 2007).

SOURCE for Consumer Outcomes: NC Treatment Outcomes & Program Performance System (NC-TOPPS) Data (for Initial Interviews October – December 2006). Updates received October 1, 2006 - March 31, 2007

^{*} For the CDW records, Pitt is reported with Neuse.

^{*} Piedmont data on Consumer Admissions are not available for this report.

Appendix D So...How Did We Do?

SoHow Did We Do?							
Progress Indicator	State Average	Range Among LMEs	Urban LMEs Average	Mix LMEs Average	Rural LMEs Average	Your LME	
SERVICE DELIVERY							
Services to Persons in Need							
❖Adult Mental Health	38%	19% - 66%	33%	40%	42%		
❖Child/Adolescent Mental Health	40%	26% - 71%	36%	41%	45%		
❖Adult Developmental Disabilities	36%	21% - 65%	34%	38%	38%		
❖Child/Adolescent Developmental Disability	19%	11% - 39%	18%	20%	19%		
❖Adult Substance Abuse	8%	5% - 12%	8%	9%	8%		
❖Adolescent Substance Abuse	7%	3% - 13%	6%	7%	7%		
2. Timely Initiation & Engagement in Service							
❖Mental Health: 2 Visits within 14 Days	36%	22% - 55%	37%	37%	35%		
❖Mental Health: 2 Additional Visits within Next 30 Days	24%	11% - 44%	25%	24%	22%		
❖Developmental Disabilities: 2 Visits within 14 Days	60%	23% - 100%	61%	62%	56%		
❖Developmental Disabilities: 2 Additional Visits within Next 30 Days	48%	20% - 100%	49%	49%	44%		
❖Substance Abuse: 2 Visits within 14 Days	64%	43% - 82%	66%	65%	60%		
❖Substance Abuse: 2 Additional Visits within Next 30 Days	46%	26% - 66%	49%	46%	43%		
3. Effective Use of State Psychiatric Hospitals							
❖1-7 Days of Care	55%	34% - 69%	56%	52%	56%		
❖8-30 Days of Care	32%	23% - 45%	30%	35%	32%		
4. Timely Follow-up After Inpatient Care							
❖ADATCs: Seen in 1-7 Days	23%	8% - 75%	22%	23%	25%		
❖ADATCs: Seen in 8-30 Days	11%	0% - 43%	9%	11%	11%		
❖State Psychiatric Hospitals: Seen in 1-7 Days	31%	20% - 58%	31%	31%	31%		
❖State Psychiatric Hospitals: Seen in 8-30 Days	14%	8% - 24%	14%	15%	14%		
SERVICE QUALITY		_					
5. Consumer Choice of Service Providers							
❖LME provided list of choices	72%	50% - 89%	69%	71%	74%		
❖Consumer contacted provider directly	22%	9% - 49%	25%	21%	22%		
6. Use of Evidence-Based/Best Practices							
❖Number of Services With Endorsed Providers	5	2 - 6	6	5	5		
❖Number of Services With One or More Billing Providers	4	1 - 6	5	4	3		
SYSTEM MANAGEMENT	,				,		
7. Consumer/Family Involvement in System (CFAC Attendance)	55%	25% - 93%	51%	54%	60%		
8. Effective Management of Service Funds (SFY '06-'07 Q1-Q4)							
❖All Disability Groups	85%	50% - 100%	87%	86%	83%		
9. Effective Management of Information							
❖Consumer Admissions	95%	65% - 100%	96%	94%	95%		
❖Consumer Outcomes	74%	30% - 99%	76%	72%	75%		

Appendix E: Formulas for Calculation	on of Measures in the Community Systems Prog	ress Indicators Reports SFY 2006-2007			
Indicator	Numerator	Denominator	Notes		
Services to Persons in Need (Treated Prevalence)	Number of unduplicated persons with at least one paid IPRS and/or Medicaid service claim* that indicates a diagnosis for the age-disability group during the defined period the disability (based on national studies)		An individual person can be counted in more than one age-disability group Defined period includes four quarters of service		
-			dates, lagged by one quarter prior to the report to allow time for claims to be submitted and paid. The first date of service is defined as a service		
Timely Initiation of Services	Medicaid service claim* that indicates a diagnosis		after 60 days with no service. Defined period includes first service dates during one quarter plus two subsequent quarters of additional service dates (most recent 3 quarters o service claims total). Lagging first service dates I two quarters prior to the report allows time for claims to be submitted and paid.		
Engagement in Services	Number of persons meeting the criteria for Initiation who receive a fourth paid IPRS and/or Medicaid service claim* that indicates a diagnosis for the disability group (excludes dually diagnose consumers) within 30 days of the second service date	Number of persons with a first paid IPRS and/or Medicaid service claim* that indicates a diagnosis for the disability group (excludes dually diagnosed consumers) during the defined period	Defined period includes first service dates within one quarter plus two subsequent quarters of service dates (most recent 3 quarters of service claims total). Lagging first service dates by two quarters prior to the report allows time for claims to be submitted and paid.		
Effective Use of State Psychiatric	Number of persons discharged from a state	Number of persons discharged from a state	Defined period includes discharges during the		
Hospitals (Short Term Use)	psychiatric hospital during the defined period who were admitted no more than 7 or between 8-30 days prior to discharge	psychiatric hospital during the defined period, according to HEARTS data	most recent two quarters prior to the report.		
Timely Follow-Up After Inpatient	Number of persons discharged from a state	Number of persons discharged from a state	Defined period includes discharges during a		
Care - State Alcohol & Drug Abuse Treatment Centers (ADATCs)	ADATC during the defined period who had a paid IPRS and/or Medicaid service* within 1-7 or 8-30 calendar days of the discharge		quarter, lagged 2 quarters prior to the report, and first service dates during the 3 quarters prior to the report. Lag allows time for claims to be submitted and paid.		
Timely Follow-Up After Inpatient Care - State Psychiatric Hospitals	7 or 8-30 calendar days of the discharge	according to HEARTS data	Defined period includes discharges during a quarter, lagged 2 quarters prior to the report, and first service dates during the 3 quarters prior to the report. Lag allows time for claims to be submitted and paid.		
Consumer Choice of Service Providers	Number of MH or SA consumers administered an Initial NC-TOPPS Interview during the defined period who report having received a list of options or having contacted a provider on their own	Number of MH or SA consumers administered an Initial NC-TOPPS Interview who answered the question	Defined period includes Initial Interviews during the most recent quarter prior to the report.		
Use of Evidence-Based Service Models and Best Practices	Number of the 6 selected services for which there is at least one endorsed provider in the LME's catchment area and the number of the 6 services for which at least one provider has been paid to provide an IPRS and/or Medicaid service during the defined period	Six selected services through which EBPs can be billed and which DMHDDSAS is encouraging LMEs to develop, including: MST, ACTT, SAIOP, SACOT, IIH, and CS/CST	Defined period includes active endorsements and paid claims for service dates during the 4 quarters prior to the report.		
Involvement of Consumers and Family Members - CFAC Attendance	Average number of appointed CFAC members attending meetings during the defined quarter as reported in the CFAC minutes	Number of planned CFAC appointments according to the LME's local business plan	Defined period includes the most recent quarter prior to the report.		
Effective Management of Service Funds - IPRS	Dollars paid to the LME through the IPRS system year-to-date as determined by the paying target population	Annual dollars allocated	Defined period includes all elapsed quarters of the fiscal year.		
Effective Management of Information - Consumer Admissions	Number of persons with an identifying record and demographic record in the CDW during the defined period	Number of persons who received a paid service through IPRS during the defined period	Defined period includes paid claims for service dates during one quarter, lagged by one quarter prior to the report, and all active CDW records during the most recent 2 quarters prior to the report. Lag allows time for claims to be submitted and paid.		
Effective Management of Information – Consumer Outcomes	Number of persons with an Initial Interview durin, the defined period who have a 3-month Update Interview after the date of the Initial Interview	Number of persons with an Initial Interview during the defined period	Defined period includes Initial Interviews received during one quarter, lagged 2 quarters prior to the report, and Update Interviews received during the most recent 3 quarters prior to the report.		

(2) All Medicaid claims billed by the following providers:

All enhanced services
CAP-MR/DD
Directly-enrolled residential
Professional practitioners (licensed psychologists, LCSWs, etc.)

Psychiatrists

Psychiatric residential treatment facilities (excluding state-operated)

Criterion 5 extended-stay residential placements
Community Intermediate Care Facilities for Mental Retardation

General hospital inpatient psychiatric units Community psychiatric hospitals (excluding state-operated)

⁽¹⁾ All paid IPRS claims and claims denied due to lack of funds. (Other denied IPRS claims and procedure codes YP500 and YP692 are excluded.)